



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10 073,796	02 11 2002	Donald L. Schilling	1-2-74.5US	9004

24374 7590 08 29 2002

VOLPE AND KOENIG, PC
DEPT ICC
SUITE 400, ONE PENN CENTER
1617 JOHN F. KENNEDY BOULEVARD
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

FRANKLIN, JAMARA ALZAIDA

[REDACTED] ART UNIT [REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

2876

DATE MAILED: 08/29/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/073,796	SCHILLING, DONALD L.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jamara A. Franklin	2876

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received

I acknowledge that I have read the above communication and understand the rights I possess under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

PTO-892 (Rev. 04-01) is a form for use in communications between the Office and applicants. It is not a document for use in proceedings before the Office. It is not a substitute for a Declaration under 35 U.S.C. § 119 or 120.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) (Paper No(s))

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 5 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities:

in claim 5, line 1, substitute “fo” with --of-- and

in claim 11, line 8, substitute “he” with --the--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-7, 10-17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bishop et al. (US 4,965,821) (hereinafter referred to as ‘Bishop’).

Bishop teaches an automobile 12 equipped with a charge card cellular mobile radiotelephone (CMR) 16. A radio frequency transmission between an antenna 18 installed on an automobile 12 and a cellular base station antenna 20 electromagnetically couples a CMR 16 to cellular base station 22. A card reader 234 reads data, including personal identification information, stored on a charge card 236 to pay for rental charges of the automobile 12. (col. 1

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 8, 9, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bishop in view of Takizawa (US 5,046,125). The teachings of Bishop have been discussed above.

Bishop lacks the teaching of the personal access number of the radio unit changing in response to a received signal after the user is permitted to engage in the local transaction.

Takizawa teaches a method wherein a first lock code is immediately replaced with a second lock code to inhibit an unauthorized person from unlocking the equipment (col. 4, lines 24-32).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that changing the personal access number would have been beneficial for added security which would have kept the radio unit from being fraudulently used. Therefore, it would have been obvious, at the time the invention was made, to modify the teachings of Bishop with the aforementioned teachings of Takizawa.

It is believed that the above rejections are proper and sufficient to allow prosecution to issue disclosure.

Griffith (US 6,356,752) teaches a wireless telephone as a transaction device.

Vatanen (US 6,169,890) teaches a mobile telephone system and method for carrying out financial transactions using a mobile telephone system.

Partridge, III (US 5,608,778) teaches a cellular telephone as an authenticated transaction controller.

Zicker et al. (US 5,144,649) teach a cellular radiotelephone credit card paystation method.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamara A. Franklin whose telephone number is (703) 305-0128. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Jamara A. Franklin
Examiner
Art Unit 2876

MICHAEL G. LEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800